arXiv:1407.5257v1 [math.SG] 20 Jul 2014

STEIN FILLINGS OF HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES AND MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

TAKAHIRO OBA

Abstract. In this article, using combinatorial techniques of mapping class groups, we show that a Stein fillable integral homology 3-sphere supported by an open book decomposition with page a 4-holed sphere admits a unique Stein filling up to diffeomorphism. Furthermore, according to a property of deforming symplectic fillings of a rational homology 3-spheres into strongly symplectic fillings, we also show that a symplectically fillable integral homology 3-sphere supported by an open book decomposition with page a 4-holed sphere admits a unique symplectic filling up to diffeomorphism and blow-up.

1. Introduction.

A 2-plane field ξ on an oriented 3-manifold M is called an (*oriented*) *contact structure* on M if there exists a 1-form α such that $\xi = \ker\alpha$ and $\alpha \wedge d\alpha > 0$ with respect to the orientation of M. The pair (M, ξ) is called a *contact manifold*. Recently, Giroux [9] gave a one-to-one correspondence between the set of contact structures on M and the set of open book decompositions of M. This correspondence enables us to handle contact 3-manifolds combinatorially by surface topology and mapping class groups.

A 2-form ω on a 4-manifold X is a *symplectic structure* on X if ω is closed and non-degenerate. The pair (X, ω) is called a *symplectic manifold*. A (*weak*) *symplectic filling* of (M, ξ) is a compact symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) such that $\partial W = M$ as oriented manifolds and $\omega|\xi > 0$. A *strongly symplectic filling* of (M, ξ) is a compact symplectic 4-manifold (X, ω) such that ω is exact near $\partial X = M$ and a 1-form α with $d\alpha = \omega$ can be chosen so that $\ker(\alpha|\partial X) = \xi$. A *Stein filling* of (M, ξ) is a complex surface (X, J) with a proper plurisubharmonic function $\Phi : X \to [0, \infty)$ such that for a regular value t the 3-manifold $M_t = \Phi^{-1}(t)$ with the 2-plane field of complex tangencies $TM_t \cap JTM_t$ is contactmorphic to (M, ξ). A contact structure ξ on M is said to be (*weakly*) *symplectically fillable*, *strongly symplectically fillable*, and *Stein fillable* if (M, ξ) admits a symplectic filling, strongly symplectic filling, and Stein filling respectively. It is a well-known fact after Eliashberg and Gromov [4] that a fillable contact structure on a 3-manifold is tight. Thus various fillings play important roles in examining topology of (M, ξ).

Classification of these fillings of a given contact 3-manifold has been discussed as a crucial problem in contact and symplectic geometry. In particular, it is important to determine which contact 3-manifold admits a unique filling. Eliashberg [2] showed that the 3-sphere S^3 with the standard contact structure ξ_{std} admits a unique symplectic filling up to symplectic deformation and blow-up. McDuff [19]

Date: July 22, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R17; Secondary 57R65.

showed that the lens space $L(p,1)$ for $p \neq 4$ with the standard contact structure admits a unique symplectic filling up to diffeomorphism and blow-up, and Hind [12] showed that it admits a unique Stein filling up to Stein deformation. Furthermore, Plamenevskaya and Van Horn-Morris [25] showed that every tight contact structure on $L(p,1)$ for $p \neq 4$ admits a unique symplectic filling up to symplectic deformation and blow-up. Lisca [16] and Kaloti [14] showed that there are infinitely many tight lens spaces different from $L(p,1)$ each of which admits a unique symplectic filling up to diffeomorphism and blow-up. On other 3-manifolds, Ohta and Ono [22] showed that the links of simple singularities with the standard contact structures admit unique symplectic fillings up to symplectic deformation and blow-up. Stipsicz [27] showed that the Poincaré homology 3-sphere with a Stein fillable contact structure and the 3-torus T^3 with the unique Stein fillable contact structure admit unique Stein fillings up to homeomorphism. Wendl [28] showed that the same contact T^3 admits a unique strongly filling up to symplectic deformation and blow-up. Schönenberger [26] constructed an infinite family of contact small Seifert 3-manifolds each of which admits a unique symplectic filling up to diffeomorphism and blow-up. Kaloti and Li [15] constructed one of contact 3-manifolds including infinitely many hyperbolic 3-manifolds such that each member admits a unique Stein filling up to symplectic deformation.

In this article, we will give a new family of contact 3-manifolds each of which admits a unique Stein filling up to diffeomorphism. This family has a different flavor from the above earlier results. We emphasize that our uniqueness result hold for all Stein fillable integral homology 3-spheres supported by open book decompositions with page a 4-holed sphere $\Sigma_{0,4}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an integral homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact structure ξ on M is Stein fillable and supported by an open book decomposition with page $\Sigma_{0,4}$. Then the contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) admits a unique Stein filling up to diffeomorphism. Furthermore this Stein filling is diffeomorphic to either the 4-disk D^4 or a Mazur type manifold.*

Here a 4-manifold X is called of *Mazur type* if it is contractible, the boundary ∂X is not diffeomorphic to S^3, and it admits a handle decomposition consisting of one 0-handle, one 1-handle, and one 2-handle.

Remark 1.2. There exist infinitely many integral homology 3-spheres satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1. In fact, the author constructed such a family in [20].

The earlier uniqueness results except the ones of Plamenevskaya and Van Horn-Morris, Kaloti and Li, and Kaloti were shown by techniques of symplectic geometry and gauge theory. The three results and ours, however, are shown by more combinatorial techniques of mapping class groups. These are based on the following work of Wendl [28].

Theorem 1.3 (Wendl [28, Theorem 1])**.** *Suppose that (X,ω) is a strongly symplectic filling of a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) supported by a planar open book decomposition (Σ,φ). Then (W,ω) is symplectic deformation equivalent to a blow-up of the total space of a positive allowable Lefschetz fibration obtained from (Σ,φ).*

Note that a Stein filling (X,J) of a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) is minimal, and thus by the theorem, X is diffeomorphic to the total space of a positive allowable

Lefschetz fibration obtained from a given open book decomposition. Hence to classify Stein fillings of (M, ξ) we examine the *positive factorizations* of the monodromy of the open book decomposition.

Furthermore, Ohta and Ono [21] showed that if M is a rational homology 3-sphere, then a symplectic filling of a contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) can be deformed its strongly symplectic filling. The next corollary follows from this fact immediately.

Corollary 1.4. *Let M be an integral homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact structure ξ on M is symplectically fillable and supported by an open book decomposition with page $\Sigma_{0,4}$. Then the contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) admits a unique symplectic filling up to diffeomorphism and blow-up.*

This article is constructed as follows: In Section 2, we review some definitions and properties of mapping class groups, positive Lefschetz fibrations and open book decompositions. In Section 3, first, we examine a handle decomposition of a Stein filling of an integral homology 3-sphere using some properties of planar open book decompositions. Next, according to this observation, we prove several propositions about the number of handles of the given handle decomposition. Finally, we prove the main results using these propositions, Kirby calculus and mapping class groups.

Throughout this article we will work in the smooth category. We assume that the reader is familiar with a few basics of contact geometry, Kirby diagrams and Kirby calculus. If necessary, we refer the reader to [6], [8], and [23] about the former and to [11, Section 4 and 5] about the latter.

Aknowledgments. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Hisaaki Endo for his encouragement and many helpful comments for the draft of this article. He would also like to thank Kouichi Yasui for his kindly comments on results in this article.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. **Mapping class groups.**

Let Σ be a compact, oriented, connected surface with boundary.

Definition 2.1. *The mapping class group* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ *of* Σ *is the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of* Σ *relative to the boundary* $\partial\Sigma$.

Let α be a simple closed curve in Σ. A *right-handed Dehn twist* $t_\alpha : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ along α in Σ is a diffeomorphism obtained by cutting Σ along α, twisting 360° to the right and regluing. The mapping class of t_α is also denoted by t_α and also called the right-handed Dehn twist along α.

Let α and β be simple closed curves in Σ. The *geometric intersection number* between α and β is denoted by $i(\alpha, \beta)$. We say that the collection $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ *fills* Σ if, for any simple closed curve γ in Σ, it holds that $i(\gamma, \alpha) > 0$ or $i(\gamma, \beta) > 0$. The subgroups of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ generated by two right-handed Dehn twists are classified by the geometric intersection number between the simple closed curves generating these Dehn twists and isotopy classes of these curves. In particular, Ishida [13, Theorem 1.2] showed that if $i(\alpha, \beta) \geq 2$, the subgroup $\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta \rangle$ of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ generated by t_α and t_β is isomorphic to the free group F_2 of rank 2. If $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ fills Σ, we define the representation $\varrho : \langle t_\alpha, t_\beta \rangle \to PSL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ as

$$t_\alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i(\alpha,\beta) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ t_\beta \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i(\alpha,\beta) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that if $i(\alpha,\beta)\geq 2$, the representation ϱ is injective. More precisely about the representation, we refer to the reader to [7, Section 14.1.2].

2.2. **Positive Lefschetz fibrations and open book decompositions.** Let X and B be compact, oriented, connected smooth 4- and 2-manifolds.

Definition 2.2. *A smooth map $f : X \to B$ is called a positive Lefschetz fibration if there exist points $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ in the interior of B such that*

1. *$f|f^{-1}(B-\{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}) : f^{-1}(B-\{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}) \to B-\{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}$ is a smooth fiber bundle over $B-\{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}$ with fiber an oriented surface Σ,*
2. *$\{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}$ are the critical values of f, with $p_i \in f^{-1}(b_i)$ a unique critical point of f for each i,*
3. *for each b_i and p_i, there are local complex coordinate charts with respect to the orientations of X and B such that locally f can be written as $f(z_1,z_2) = z_1^2+z_2^2$, and*
4. *no fiber contains a (-1)-sphere, that is, an embedded sphere with self-intersection number -1.*

We call a fiber $f^{-1}(b)$ a *singular fiber* if $b \in \{b_1,b_2,\dots,b_m\}$ and a *regular fiber* otherwise. Also we call X the *total space* and B the *base space*.

We will review roughly a handle decomposition of the total space and a monodromy of a given positive Lefschetz fibration over the disk D^2. For more details we refer the reader to [11, Section 8.2] and [23, Section 10.1]. Suppose $f : X \to D^2$ is a positive Lefschetz fibration with fiber a compact, oriented, connected genus g surface Σ with r boundary components. Then X admits a handle decomposition

$$X = (D^2\times\Sigma)\cup(\cup_{i=1}^m h_i^{(2)}) = (h^{(0)}\cup(\cup_{j=1}^{2g+r-1}h_j^{(1)}))\cup(\cup_{i=1}^m h_i^{(2)})$$

where each $h_l^{(k)}$ is a k-handle, and each 2-handle $h_i^{(2)}$ corresponding to the critical point p_i is attached along a simple closed curve α_i in $\{pt.\}\times\Sigma \subset D^2\times\Sigma$ with framing -1 relative to the product framing on α_i. The attaching circle α_i of $h_i^{(2)}$ is called a *vanishing cycle* for the singular fiber $f^{-1}(b_i)$. Then the m-tuple $(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m})$ of m right-handed Dehn twists is called a *monodromy* of f. The product $t_{\alpha_m}t_{\alpha_{m-1}}\cdots t_{\alpha_2}t_{\alpha_1}$ of Dehn twists is called the *total monodromy*. Conversely for a given element φ in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$, a factorization of φ into right-handed Dehn twists is called a *positive factorization* of φ. For the monodromy $(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_{m-1}}, t_{\alpha_m})$, we will define two transformations. A *Hurwitz move* is defined as the partial replacement of $(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_i}, t_{\alpha_{i+1}}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m})$ by

$$(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_{i+1}}, t_{\alpha_{i+1}}t_{\alpha_i}t_{\alpha_{i+1}}^{-1}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m}).$$

A *total conjugation* by an element ψ of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ is defined as taking the conjugate of each t_{α_i} by ψ simultaneously so that

$$(\psi t_{\alpha_1}\psi^{-1}, \psi t_{\alpha_2}\psi^{-1}, \cdots, \psi t_{\alpha_m}\psi^{-1}).$$

If the monodromy $(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m})$ transforms another m-tuple $(t_{\alpha_1'}, t_{\alpha_2'}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m'})$ by Hurwitz moves and total conjugations, we write

$$(t_{\alpha_1}, t_{\alpha_2}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m}) \equiv (t_{\alpha_1'}, t_{\alpha_2'}, \cdots, t_{\alpha_m'}).$$

Note that the two transformations preserve the diffeomorphism types of X. The reader finds geometric meanings of the two transformations in [18, Section 2 and 4].

Definition 2.3. *A Lefschetz fibration is said to be allowable if all of the vanishing cycles are homologically non-trivial in the fiber Σ. After this, we abbreviate a positive allowable Lefschetz fibration to a PALF.*

Next we will review open book decompositions. Let M be a closed, oriented, connected 3-manifold.

Definition 2.4. *Let L be an oriented link in M and $\pi : (M - L) \to S^1$ a smooth map. A pair (L, π) is called an open book decomposition of M if $\pi : (M - L) \to S^1$ is a fibration of the complement of L such that $\pi^{-1}(\theta)$ is the interior of a compact surface $\Sigma_\theta \subset M$ which is diffeomorphic to a compact surface Σ and whose boundary is L for any $\theta \in S^1$.*

The oriented link L is called the *binding* and the compact surface Σ is called the *page* of the open book decomposition (L, π).

Once we give an open book decomposition (L, π) with page Σ of M, we consider it as a pair of the surface Σ and a diffeomorphism φ of Σ relative to the boundary, called a *monodromy* of the open book decompositions, as follows: $M - L$ is diffeomorphic to the interior of a mapping torus $[0, 1] \times \Sigma/((1, x) \sim (0, \varphi(x))$. The pair (Σ, φ) is often said to be an *abstract open book decomposition* of M. Conversely for any abstract open book decomposition (Σ, φ), there exists an open book decomposition (L, π) (cf. [6, Section 2]). After this, we do not distinguish abstract open book decompositions from open book decompositions throughout the remainder of the article.

A contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) is related to an open book decomposition of M as follows.

Definition 2.5. *A contact structure ξ on M is supported by an open book decomposition (L, π) of M if there exists a contact form α for ξ such that*

1. *$d\alpha$ is a positive volume form on each page Σ_θ of the open book decomposition, and*
2. *$\alpha > 0$ on the binding L, that is, for any vector field v inducing the positive orientation of L, $\alpha(v) > 0$.*

Loi and Piergallini [17], and Akbulut and Ozbagci [1] showed that every open book decomposition with monodromy which has a positive factorization supports a Stein fillable contact structure, and every Stein fillable contact structure is supported by an open book decomposition with monodromy which has a positive factorization. It can be easily check that, for any PALF $f : X \to D^2$ with fiber Σ, we obtain the open book decomposition with page Σ and monodromy the total monodromy of f. Conversely it can be shown that, for every open book decomposition (Σ, φ) whose monodromy φ has a positive factorization, we obtain the PALF $f : X \to D^2$ with fiber Σ and monodromy the m-tuple determined by the positive factorization of φ.

3. Main Results.

In this section, an integral homology 3-sphere is called a homology 3-sphere for short.

3.1. **Handle decompositions of Stein fillings of homology 3-spheres.** Before stating the results in this subsection, we review some conditions for a contact structure on a 3-manifold to be supported by a planar open book decomposition.

Theorem 3.1 (Etnyre [5, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Suppose that X is a symplectic filling of a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) supported by a planar open book decomposition. Then $b_2^+(X) = b_2^0(X) = 0$, and the boundary of X is connected. Moreover, if M is a homology 3-sphere, then the intersection form Q_X of X is diagonalizable over the integers.*

Theorem 3.2 (Ozváth, Stipsicz and Szabó [24, Corollary 1.5])**.** *Suppose that a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) with the Euler class $e(\xi) = 0$ admits a Stein filling X such that the first Chern class $c_1(X) \neq 0$. Then ξ is not supported by a planar open book decomposition.*

Using the above theorems, we will show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) admits a Stein filling X and ξ is supported by a planar open book decomposition. Then $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

Proof. It is well-known that the Stein filling X admits a handle decomposition without 3- or 4-handles (see [3] and [10]). Thus $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion element. Suppose that the rank of $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is positive. According to Theorem 3.1, Q_X is negative definite and diagonalizable, so there exists an element a of $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ such that its square a^2 is -1. We have

$$c_1(X)(a) \equiv w_2(X)(a) \equiv a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 2.$$

Therefore $c_1(X) \neq 0$. Now since M is a homology 3-sphere and $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $e(\xi) = 0$. Hence this contradicts our assumption by Theorem 3.2, and $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be a homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) admits a Stein filling X. Then $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $H^3(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ since X admits a handle decomposition without 3-handles as mentioned above. The exact sequence of cohomology of the pair $(X,\partial X)$ provides the following exact sequence

$$0 = H^3(X,\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow H^3(X,\partial X;\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow H^2(\partial X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \,(\text{exact}).$$

Thus $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(X,\partial X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

From these lemmas, once we give a handle decomposition of a Stein filling of a contact 3-manifold, we can characterize the number of 1-handles and that of 2-handles of the handle decomposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let M be a homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) admits a Stein filling X and ξ is supported by a planar open book decomposition. Then, for any handle decomposition of X with one 0-handle and without 3- or 4-handles, the number of 1-handles and that of 2-handles agree.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of X is 1. On handle homology, each i-th chain complex $C_i(X)$ is generated by the i-handles,

thus $C_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $C_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, where m is the number of 1-handles and n is that of 2-handles of the given handle decomposition of X. Therefore we have

$$1 = \chi(X) = 1 - m + n,$$

so $m = n$. We complete the proof of this proposition. □

We can understand this proposition in terms of PALFs.

Proposition 3.6. *Let M be a homology 3-sphere. Suppose that a contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) admits a Stein filling X and ξ is supported by a planar open book decomposition. If X admits a PALF $f : X \to D^2$ with fiber a $(n+1)$-holed sphere $\Sigma_{0,n+1}$, then the number of singular fibers of f is n.*

Proof. We can take a handle decomposition of X from the data of the vanishing cycles of the PALF f as in Section 2.2. On the handle decomposition, the number of 1-handles is the first Betti number of $\Sigma_{0,n+1}$ i.e., n, and that of 2-handles is the number of the vanishing cycles. Thus this proposition follows from Proposition 3.5. □

Remark 3.7. Proposition 3.5 and 3.6 are used to examine whether a Stein fillable contact structure ξ on a homology 3-sphere is supported by a planar open book decomposition. Although these propositions are contained by Theorem 3.1 essentially, it is easier to apply our propositions to some contact 3-manifolds. For example let (M, ξ) be a contact homology 3-sphere obtained from a Legendrian surgery on a Legendrian link in (S^3, ξ_{std}). Let X be the Stein filling of (M, ξ) defined by the Legendrian surgery diagram. Obviously, X admits a handle decomposition consisting of one 0-handle and 2-handles. Therefore we apply Proposition 3.5 to X and conclude that ξ is not supported by any planar open book decomposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Let M be a 3-manifold equipped with an open book decomposition $(\Sigma_{0,n+1}, \varphi)$ and ξ a Stein fillable contact structure on M supported by $(\Sigma_{0,n+1}, \varphi)$. Suppose that a Stein filling X of (M, ξ) admits a PALF $f : X \to D^2$ determined by a positive factorization of φ. Then M is a homology 3-sphere if and only if $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and the number of singular fibers of f is n.*

Proof. Obviously, the necessary condition follows from Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.6. Hence we concentrate on the sufficient condition. Taking a handle decomposition of X from the data of the vanishing cycles of the PALF f as

$$X = (D^2 \times \Sigma_{0,n+1}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{m} h_i^{(2)}) = (h^{(0)} \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{n} h_j^{(1)})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n} h_i^{(2)})$$

and performing surgery on the core of the 1-handles of X, we get the surgered manifold X'. Let $h_1'^{(2)}, h_2'^{(2)}, \dots, h_n'^{(2)}$ be the 2-handles provided by the surgery. Let $\{h_1'^{(2)}, h_2'^{(2)}, \dots, h_n'^{(2)}, h_1^{(2)}, h_2^{(2)}, \dots, h_n^{(2)}\}$ be a basis for $C_2(X')$ and for $i = 1, 2$, $\{h_1^{(i)}, h_2^{(i)}, \dots, h_n^{(i)}\}$ one for $C_i(X)$. Under these bases, the intersection form $Q_{X'}$ can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} O & A \\ A^T & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is the matrix representation of the boundary map $\partial_2 : C_2(X) \to C_1(X)$ and B is the linking matrix of the attaching circles of $h_1^{(2)}, h_2^{(2)}, \dots, h_n^{(2)}$. Since $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, A is unimodular and so is Q_X. Therefore $\partial X = M$ is a homology 3-sphere. □

3.2. **Proofs of the main results.** We define types of simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{0,n}$. A simple closed curve in $\Sigma_{0,n}$ is called a *boundary curve* if it is parallel to a boundary component of $\Sigma_{0,n}$ and *non-boundary curve* otherwise.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let X be a Stein filling of (M,ξ). According to Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.6, X admits a PALF $f : X \to D^2$ with fiber $\Sigma_{0,4}$ determined by a positive factorization of $\varphi = t_\gamma t_\beta t_\alpha$, where α, β, γ are simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{0,4}$. If these curves are of non-boundary, then $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ has a torsion element, and M is not a homology 3-sphere by Proposition 3.4. Thus at least one of these curves must be a boundary curve. Since a Dehn twist along a boundary curve is an element of the center of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_{0,4})$, it suffices to consider the following three cases:

(1) α, β, and γ are of boundary,
(2) α and β are of boundary, and γ is of non-boundary, and
(3) α is of boundary, and β and γ are of non-boundary.

In the first two cases, the open book decomposition $(\Sigma_{0,4},\varphi)$ supports the standard contact structure ξ_{std} on S^3. (S^3,ξ_{std}) admits a unique Stein filling, D^4, and this theorem folds. Thus we concentrate on the case (3) in the rest of the proof.

Considering the conjugate of $\varphi = t_\gamma t_\beta t_\alpha$ by an appropriate mapping class if necessary, we can assume that α and β lie in $\Sigma_{0,4}$ as Figure 1 and γ is a simple closed curve obtained from the dotted curve in Figure 1 twisted by a diffeomorphism of $\Sigma_{0,4}$.

We claim that X is diffeomorphic to either D^4 or a Mazur type manifold. A Kirby diagram of X is drawn as Figure 2 from the data of vanishing cycles of f. We can find two cancelling 1-handle/2-handle pairs in the diagram and get a diagram consisting of one 0-handle, one 1-handle, and one 2-handle. Since $\pi_1(X)$ has one relator and $H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, X is simply connected. Furthermore, since $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if $* > 0$, X is contractible. Therefore X is diffeomorphic to D^4 if M is diffeomorphic to S^3 or a Mazur type manifold otherwise.

Consider another factorization $t_{\gamma'} t_{\beta'} t_{\alpha'}$ of φ, and let $f' : X' \to D^2$ be a PALF obtained from this factorization. We will show that $(t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma) \equiv (t_{\alpha'}, t_{\beta'}, t_{\gamma'})$. Since $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_{0,4})$ is isomorphic to $(\Pi_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}[t_{\delta_i}]) \times (\mathbb{Z}[t_a] \times \mathbb{Z}[t_b])$ where these curves lie in $\Sigma_{0,4}$ as Figure 3, the abelianization of φ is

$$[t_{\delta_1}] + [t_a] + [t_b] \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_{0,4})^{ab} \cong (\oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{Z}[t_{\delta_i}]) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[t_a] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[t_b]).$$

Thus one of $t_{\alpha'}$, $t_{\beta'}$ and $t_{\gamma'}$ is t_α, and the rest of the mapping classes are the conjugate of t_α and t_β. Using Hurwitz moves, we can assume that $t_\alpha = t_{\alpha'}$. Moreover we can also assume that t_β and $t_{\beta'}$ are conjugate and so are t_γ and $t_{\gamma'}$. Since each Dehn twist t_{δ_i} is an element of the center of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_{0,4})$, there exist mapping classes ψ_1 and ψ_2 in $\langle t_\gamma, t_\beta\rangle < \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_{0,4})$ such that $t_{\beta'} = \psi_1 t_\beta \psi_1^{-1}$ and $t_{\gamma'} = \psi_2 t_\gamma \psi_2^{-1}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t_{\alpha'}, t_{\beta'}, t_{\gamma'}) &\equiv (t_\alpha, \psi_1 t_\beta \psi_1^{-1}, \psi_2 t_\gamma \psi_2^{-1}) \\ &\equiv (t_\alpha, t_\beta, \psi_1^{-1}\psi_2 t_\gamma \psi_2^{-1}\psi_1) \\ &\equiv (t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to prove that $(t_\beta, t_\gamma) \equiv (t_\beta, t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)})$. To prove this claim, consider the representation $\varrho : \langle t_\gamma, t_\beta\rangle \to \mathrm{PSL}(2;\mathbb{Z})$ defined in Section 2.1. It is easy to check that $i(\gamma,\beta) \geq 2$ and the pair $\{\gamma,\beta\}$ fills $\Sigma_{0,4}$. Therefore the subgroup $\langle t_\gamma, t_\beta\rangle$ is



FIGURE 1.



FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 3.

isomorphic to F_2 and ϱ is injective. Let $z := i(\gamma,\beta)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := \varrho(\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2)$. Then we have

$$\varrho(t_\gamma t_\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-z^2 & -z \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\varrho(t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)} t_\beta) = \varrho((\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2) t_\gamma (\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2)^{-1} t_\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-acz-a^2z & -a^2z \\ (1+c^2-ac)z & 2-a^2z \end{pmatrix},$$

and their traces are

$$\mathrm{tr}(\varrho(t_\gamma t_\beta)) = 2-z^2,\ \ \mathrm{tr}(\varrho(\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2) t_\gamma (\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2)^{-1} t_\beta)) = 2-a^2z^2.$$

Since $t_\gamma t_\beta$ and $t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)} t_\beta$ are conjugate, these traces must agree, and $a = \pm 1$. $\varrho(\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2)$ is an element of the subgroup $\langle \varrho(t_\gamma), \varrho(t_\beta)\rangle$ of $\mathrm{PSL}(2;\mathbb{Z})$, hence there exist integers k and ℓ such that $b = kz$ and $c = \ell z$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & mz \\ nz & \pm(1+mnz^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \pm nz & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm mz \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \varrho(t_\beta^{\pm n}) \varrho(t_\gamma^{\mp m}) \\ &= \varrho(t_\beta^{\pm n} t_\gamma^{\mp m}). \end{aligned}$$

Since ϱ is injective, $\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2 = t_\beta^{\pm n} t_\gamma^{\mp m}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t_\beta, t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)}) &\equiv (t_\beta, t_{t_\beta^{\pm n} t_\gamma^{\mp m}(\gamma)}) \\ &\equiv (t_\beta, t_{t_\beta^{\pm n}(\gamma)}) \\ &\equiv (t_\beta^{\mp m} t_\beta t_\beta^{\pm m}, t_\beta^{\mp m} t_{t_\beta^{\pm m}(\gamma)} t_\beta^{\pm}) \\ &\equiv (t_\beta, t_\gamma),\end{aligned}$$

in particular

$$(t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma) \equiv (t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_{\psi_1^{-1}\psi_2(\gamma)}) \equiv (t_{\alpha'}, t_{\beta'}, t_{\gamma'}).$$

We conclude that the monodromies obtained from positive factorizations of φ agree up to Hurwitz move and total conjugation, and X and X' are mutually diffeomorphic. Therefore (M, ξ) admits also unique Stein filling up to diffeomorphism. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. This corollary follows from [21, Teorem 1.1] immediately. □

References

1. S. Akbulut and B. Ozbagci, *Lefschetz fibrations on compact Stein surfaces*, Geom. Topol. **5** (2001), 939–945.
2. Y. Eliashberg, *Filling by holomorphic discs and its applications*, Geometry of Low-dimensional Manifolds: **2**, Proc. Durham Symp. 1989, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes **151**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990, 45–67.
3. Y. Eliashberg, *Topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension* > 2, Internat. J. Math. **1** (1990), no. 1, 29–46.
4. Y. Eliashberg and M. Gromov, *Convex symplectic manifolds*, Several complex variables and complex geometry (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 135–162.
5. J. Etnyre, *Planar open book decompositions and contact structures*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2004** (2004), no. 79, 4255–4267.
6. J. Etnyre, *Lectures on open book decompositions and contact structures*, Floer Homology, Gauge Theory, and Low Dimensional Topology, Clay Math. Proc. **5**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
7. B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
8. H. Geiges, *An introduction to contact topology*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **109**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
9. E. Giroux, *Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vers les dimensions supérieures*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 405–414.
10. R. Gompf, *Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), no. 2, 619–693.
11. R. Gompf and A. Stipsicz, *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Grad. Stud. Math. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
12. R. Hind, *Stein fillings of lens spaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **5** (2003), no. 6, 967–982.
13. A. Ishida, *The structure of subgroup of mapping class groups generated by two Dehn twists*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **72** (1996), no. 10, 240–241.
14. A. Kaloti, *Stein fillings of planar open books*, arXiv:1311.0208.
15. A. Kaloti and Y. Li, *Stein fillings of contact* 3*-manifolds obtained as Legendrian surgeries*, arXiv:1307.4726.
16. P. Lisca, *On symplectic fillings of lens spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 2, 765–799.
17. A. Loi and R. Piergallini, *Compact Stein surfaces with boundary as branched covers of* B^4, Invent. Math. **143** (2001), no. 2, 325–348.
18. Y. Matsumoto, *Lefschetz fibrations of genus two–a topological approach*, Topology and Teichmüller spaces (Katinkulta, 1995), 123–148, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1996.

19. McDuff, *The structure of rational and ruled symplectic* 4*-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 3, 679–712.
20. T. Oba, *A note on Mazur type Stein fillings of planar contact manifolds*, arXiv:1405.3751.
21. H. Ohta and K. Ono, *Simple singularities and topology of symplectically filling* 4*-manifold*, Comment. Math. Helv. **74** (1999), no. 4, 575–590.
22. H. Ohta and K. Ono, *Simple singularities and symplectic fillings*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 1–42.
23. B. Ozbagci and A. Stipsicz, *Surgery on contact 3-manifolds and Stein surfaces*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. **13**, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
24. P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z. Szabó, *Planar open books and Floer homology*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2005** (2005), no. 54, 3385–3401.
25. O. Plamenevskaya and J. Van Horn-Morris, *Planar open books, monodromy factorizations and symplectic fillings*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 4, 2077–2101.
26. S. Schönenberger, *Determining symplectic fillings from planar open books*, J. Symplectic Geom. **5** (2007), no. 1, 19–41.
27. A. Stipsicz, *Gauge theory and Stein fillings of certain 3-manifolds*, Turkish J. Math. **26** (2002), no. 1, 115–130.
28. C. Wendl, *Strongly fillable contact manifolds and J-holomorphic foliations*, Duke Math. J. **151** (2010), no. 3, 337–384.

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguroku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

E-mail address: oba.t.ac@m.titech.ac.jp